

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,257	01/21/2004	Kevin Austin O'Dea	DP-309754	2573	
7590 12/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Scott A. McBain			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P		
Delphi Technologies, Inc. Legal Staff / Mail Code: 480-410-202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 5052			3683		
Troy, MI 48007-5052			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		//		
		10/761,29	57	O'DEA ET AL.	•			
Office	Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit				
		Christoph	er P. Schwartz	3683				
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply in the period for reply within the period for reply within the period for reply within the period for reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNITY by be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this community of the provisions of the maximum states are the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months a ljustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. O) days, a reply within the stat atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.	in the second se		
Status								
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) file	ed on <u>21 September 2</u>	<u>2004</u> .					
2a) This action	is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claim	าร							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u> 4a) Of the a 5)□ Claim(s) <u></u>	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers								
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacemen	eation is objected to by the g(s) filed on is/are: ay not request that any object drawing sheet(s) including declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) b the correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	• ,			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
· —	on's Patent Drawing Review (P are Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) lite atent Application Profit	HER P. SCHWAR MER EXAMINED MARY EXAMINED	<i>k</i>		

Application/Control Number: 10/761,257 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pueschel et al. '126 in view of Itoh et al. '995 and Kollers et al. '730.

Regarding claim 1 Pueschel shows a brake system similar to applicant's, as is readily apparent from the drawings. The bypass valve may still be considered to be USV1 or USV2.

Pueschel et al. lacks specifically mentioning when the pump is shut off.

Pump operating noise is a well known problem in the art. It is therefore desirable to limit unnecessary or excessive operation of the pump to reduce noise. Such may be

the case when the pump is functioning during ABS or during traction or stability control routines, when the brake pressures in the wheel cylinders are increased, maintained, or reduced for specific periods of time.

The reference to Itoh discloses in column 1 lines 45-50 that noise may be reduced by controlling operation of the pump motor and with inlet and changeover valve positions. See also lines 15-16 of this reference.

The reference to Kollers in column 4 lines 57-65 teach that at the end of the traction control the pump motor is switched off and the pressure control valve assembly is open. In col 5 it is stated that noise reduction can be reduced through control of the valves and operation of the pump.

One having ordinary skill at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have applied the teachings of Itoh and Kollers to the device of Pueschel et al. '126 to reduce the noise associated with pump operation when the device operates in one of the aforementioned stability control braking modes.

Due to the striking similarity between applicants system and that of Pueschel et al. and Itoh et al limitations of claims 2-5 are considered to be an obvious variation to Pueschel et al. as modified, since the valving arrangements of Pueschel et al. and Itoh et al. are obviously interchangeable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are 4. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3683

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited have been cited for showing systems that use pump control to reduce noise.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 12/7/04